

Serial No. 10/575,557

Art Unit: 3773  
Examiner: Phong Son H. Dang**REMARKS**

In response to the Patent Office Action of June 10, 2010, the Applicant respectfully requests reexamination and reconsideration. To further the prosecution of this application, amendments have been made in the claims that should now place all claims in condition for allowance.

The action from the Patent Office is a final rejection, however, all amendments to the claims are consistent with indicated allowable subject matter such as appears in claim 21, now canceled. This subject matter indicates that the visual indicator line extends in a direction between a pivot of the handle portion and a base end of the trigger. This language has also now been incorporated into claim 1 and thus claim 1 along with all of its dependent claims 2-13 should now be found in condition for allowance.

The subject matter of claim 21 has been added into claim 14 as well as into claim 15. Accordingly, these two independent claims, along with the dependent claims 16-20, 22 and 23 should now all be found in condition for allowance.

The Examiner has also indicated that the subject matter of claim 25 is allowable. The subject matter of claim 25 has now been introduced into claim 24 and claim 25 has accordingly been canceled. With this amendment, claim 24 and its related dependent claims 26 and 27 should be found in condition for allowance.

The Examiner has also indicated allowable subject matter in claim 28. Accordingly, claim 28 has been put into independent form and should thus now be allowed. Claim 29 depends from allowed claim 24.

The Examiner has also indicated that claim 30 contains allowable subject matter. Accordingly, claim 30 has been amended to include any necessary previous claimed subject matter.

Even though this application is under final rejection, the Applicant believes that all amendments made herein are completely consistent with the indication of allowable subject

Serial No. 10/575,557

Art Unit: 3773  
Examiner: Phong Son H. Dang

matter by the Examiner as indicated on page 8, paragraph 8 of the Patent Office Action. Accordingly, the Applicant makes no further comments regarding the rejection of claims based upon the Freitas et al. '185 or Nobis et al. '198 patent. Accordingly, the Applicants files no Request for Continued Examination herein.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the Applicants respectfully submit that all of the claims pending in the above-identified application are in condition for allowance, and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

If the present application is found by the Examiner not to be in condition for allowance, then the Applicants hereby request a telephone or personal interview to facilitate the resolution of any remaining matters. Applicants' attorney may be contacted by telephone at the number indicated below to schedule such an interview.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is authorized to charge any fees incurred as a result of the filing hereof to our Deposit Account No. 19-0120.

Respectfully submitted,  
Charles Edward BRIGHT, Applicant

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Dated: 9/1/10

David M. Driscoll, Reg. No. 25,075  
Applicant's Attorney  
SALTER & MICHAELSON  
321 South Main Street  
Providence, Rhode Island 02903  
Telephone: 401/421-3141  
Facsimile : 401/861-1953  
Customer No. 000987